

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/706,506		11/12/2003	Todd K. Rosengart	3183-002-01	3183-002-01 7219 EXAMINER	
33432	7590	08/12/2005		EXAM		
KILYK & 53 A EAST		SOX, P.L.L.C.	JOHNSON III	JOHNSON III, HENRY M		
WARRENT				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3739		
				D. MELLINED 00/14/000	D. ME	

DATE MAILED: 08/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-		Application No.	Applicant(s)	-
	•	10/706,506	ROSENGART, TODD	K.
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit	
	·	Henry M. Johnson, III	3739	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicat	tion appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence addres	ss
A SH THE - Exte after - If th - If NO - Failt Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic experiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reation. 1ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty 1y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT 1y statute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.
Status				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n <u>29 December 2004</u> .		
2a)	·	☑ This action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for	allowance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the me	erits is
	closed in accordance with the practice to	under <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposit	ion of Claims			
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> is/are pending in the apple 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are vectorial claim(s) <u>21-25</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-9,11,12,15-17 and 30-35</u> Claim(s) <u>3,10,13,14,18-20 and 26-29</u> is. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	vithdrawn from consideration. is/are rejected. /are objected to.		
Applicat	ion Papers			
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Entre drawing(s) filed on <u>26 February 200</u> . Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by	04 is/are: a) \square accepted or b) \boxtimes on to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand correction is required if the drawing(s)	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1	I.121(d).
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
а)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in Ap he priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Sta	ge
Attachmer	nt(s)		•	
1) 🛛 Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)	
3) 🛛 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTC er No(s)/Mail Date <u>012104 062904</u> .)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-15: 	2)

Art Unit: 3739

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The drawings are objected to because it appears that labels 65 and 70 point to the same feature in figure 5. Figure 5 is key to understanding both the apparatus and the method as it shows the device and the heart valve and therefore clarity is essential. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/706,506

Art Unit: 3739

Claims 17 and 30-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 17, the tissue has not been cited as having a periphery. An undefined property cannot be used to define a structural element.

Claims 30-35 recite the limitation "heart ventricle protecting device". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-2, 4-9 11, 12 and 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 6,238,412 to Dubrul et al. in view of U.S. Patent 4,747,405 to Leckrone. Dubrul et al. teach a device for use in a vessel comprising concentric elongated members (Fig. 7, #'s 16 & 22). The members are interpreted as capable of rotation within a vessel when the members are not in contact with the vessel wall. The members may be directed over a guidewire (Col. 5, line 19). The inner (elongated instrument one) and outer (elongated instrument two) members include expandable elements that are interpreted as collapsible plates, the separate expandable members being movable axially relative to the other. The expandable member on the inner shaft covers the area between the outer diameter of the inner shaft and the inner diameter of the outer shaft as it is being deployed and covers the area from the inner shaft to the vessel wall when deployed. This diameter is disclosed as 2.5-

Art Unit: 3739

12 mm when collapsed and 17-84 mm when expanded (Col. 6, lines 45-48) and may be made of a mesh which is interpreted as a filter (Col. 6, line 50). Dubrul et al. disclose that a laser means may be included with the device (Col. 6, line 26). Dubrul et al. do not disclose the specifics of laser placement. Leckrone teaches a catheter for use in removing vessel occlusions using a laser that is displaced radially from the axis of the catheter. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the radial displacement of the laser as taught by in the device of Dubrul et al. to focus the laser beam where it is required.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 21-25 are allowed.

Claims 3, 10, 13, 14, 18-20 and 26-29 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 30-35 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Henry M. Johnson, III whose telephone number is (571) 272-4768. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 6:00 AM to 3:00 PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/706,506

Art Unit: 3739

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda C. Dvorak can be reached on (571) 272-4764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Henry M. Johnson, III

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3739